

Appln. No. 09/905,716

Amendment Date: October 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of June 28, 2005

Page 4

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected the claims based upon 35 USC 112, first paragraph, second paragraph, 35 USC 103(a), and 35 USC 102(b) relating to enabling, lack of support in the specification, obviousness and anticipation. Applicant has addressed these matters and submits herewith currently amended claims 1 and 3, and has canceled claims 4 and 5. It is believed these amendments clearly recite structure that are based upon specification disclosures to enable and support practice of the invention, and are neither taught nor made obvious by McCoy '268. All of McCoy's output channels have the same bandwidth and sample rate. The present invention output channels have different bandwidth and sample rate.

Applicant respectfully contends the present amendments place this case in proper order for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner's reconsideration and early allowance are respectfully solicited.

Appln. No. 09/905,716

Amendment Date: October 28, 2005

Reply to Office Action of June 2817, 2005

Page 5

Applicant's Attorney again extends high commendation and great appreciation for the yeoman efforts and courteous cooperation of Examiner Lawrence B. Williams during several telephone conversations to expedite and resolve the problems encountered and issues involved in the prosecution of this case.

Applicant's Attorney may be reached at 847/272-3182, or 847/272-3176, or FAX 847/272-5424, if the need arises for any further discussion in connection with the matters at hand.

Respectfully submitted,

FREDRIC JOEL HARRIS



Robert A. Brown, Reg. No. 26,149
P. O. Box 2127
Northbrook, Illinois 60065-2127

By FAX: 571-273-8300 - 6 Pages total including Transmittal Form

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)